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Table 1 - Africa

| Author(s) year | Location | Topic | Participants | Other <br> Participants | Methods |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Malmberg/Wanner/ <br> Sumra/Little 2001 | Morogoro region, <br> Tanzania | Relationship <br> between students' <br> action-control <br> beliefs about <br> school <br> performance and <br> their achievement | 545 students from <br> 3rd and 7 <br> in both rural and <br> urban contexts. | // | Questionnaire: revised <br> Control, Agency, and <br> Means-Ends Interview <br> (CAMI; Little/Oettingen/ <br> Baltes 1995 as cited by <br> the authors) + measures <br> for school experience <br> (classroom atmosphere <br> and social anxiety) + <br> background variables <br> (e.g., parental <br> educational level, gender <br> and age). Achievement in <br> Swahili and math <br> reported by teachers and <br> by students themselves + <br> report cards. |

Table 2 - Asia

| Author(s) year | Location | Topic | Participants | Other Participants | Methods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carless/Lam 2014 | Honk Kong, China | Students' perception of assessment | Focus group: 77 students in year 3, 24 in year 2, 14 in year 1. Drawings: 38 students in year 3,24 in year 2,14 in year 1 . | // | Focus groups + draw a picture technique with caption. <br> In the main study also: interviews with teachers + classroom observations. |
| Chan 2002 | Honk Kong, China | Students' selfevaluations on five specific domains of competence or adequacies and on global self-worth | 151 students from $3^{\text {rd }}$ to $6^{\text {th }}$ grade (aged 8-14). In detail, 20 were in $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade, 29 in $4^{\text {th }}$, 46 in $5^{\text {th }}$, and 56 in $6^{\text {th }}$ grade. | // | Self-Perception Profile for Children (SPPC; Harter 1985 as cited by the author): set of questionnaires. |
| Guo/Yan 2019 | Honk Kong, China | Students' <br> perspectives on and attitudes towards formative and summative assessment | 3019 students from $4^{\text {th }}$ to $6^{\text {th }}$ grade (aged 9-12). In detail, 889 in $4^{\text {th }}$ grade, 992 in $5^{\text {th }}$, and 1126 in $6^{\text {th }}$ grades, 12 not specified. | // | Self-developed 30-items instrument. |
| Hue/Leung/ Kennedy 2015 | Honk Kong, China | Student perception of assessment practices | 705 students from P5 to P6 (aged 912) - more than $50 \%$ of school population with ethnic minority backgrounds. | 813 students from junior secondary school (aged 12-15). | Revised version of SCoA Inventory (Brown et al. 2009 as cited by the authors). |
| Wong 2016 | Singapore | Students' and teachers' perceptions of students' selfassessment ability in Mathematics | 75 P4 students from two classes (aged 9-10) for the intervention; 18 of them ( 9 for each class) were selected for further investigation. | 2 teachers. | 75 students: intervention (how to use selfassessment) + self-report on their self-assessment. 18 students: selfassessments worksheets (checklist, learning log, and rubrics) + their corresponding work scored and compared by an independent panel of teachers + interviews in groups of three. <br> Teachers: semi-structured interviews. |
| Wong 2017 | Singapore | Effects of selfassessment training on students' perceptions of self-assessment | 146 P4 (aged 910) students (75 intervention group and 71 comparison group). | // | Intervention study (how to use self-assessment) with pre- and post-test design. Adapted version of Self-Assessment Questionnaire (SAQ; Wong 2012 as cited by the author). |
| Xiang 2002 | Shanghai, China | Children's selfperception of ability in Physical Education | 45 in $4^{\text {th }}$ grade. | 42 in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade, 44 in $11^{\text {th }}$ grade. | Children's perceived ability and ability assessment criteria in P.E. assessed through open-ended questions interview. A figure with columns of one to five red flowers to rate the level of ability. |

Table 3 - Americas

| Author(s) year | Location | Topic | Participants | Other Participants | Methods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blumenfeld/ Pintrich/Hamilton 1986 | USA | Children's concepts of ability, effort and conduct | 101 students in $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade, 57 students in $6^{\text {th }}$ grade. | // | Within-subject design -two-session interviews ( 30 minutes each): openended questions to empirically construct categories and closedended items employing 5-point Likert scales. |
| Brookhart/ <br> Bronowicz 2003 | USA | Students' <br> perceptions of <br> classroom <br> assessment: <br> interest in and importance of assignment, students' selfefficacy for accomplishing the tasks, goal orientations behind their efforts at learning | 63 students in $3^{\text {rd }}$ (elementary) and $5^{\text {th }}$ grades (middle school). | 7 teachers, 98 students in high schools. | Multiple-case study design, descriptive research. <br> For each teacher, several assessments were studied in order to describe the classroom assessment environment. <br> Student interviews. |
| DeLuca et al. 2018 | South-eastern Ontario, Canada | Students’ perspectives on the use and value of a AfL pedagogical approach within their learning | Survey: 377 primary school students (grades K-3; aged 4-9), 359 junior school students (grades 46; aged 9-12). <br> Interviews: 12 students (from primary to senior) from six teachers' classrooms. | 15 teachers, 206 students in the intermediate school (grades 7-8) and 126 in the senior one (grades 9-12). | Survey with all students (K-12; ages 4-18) of the teachers participating in the AfL learning program. Surveys were in different formats depending on age: oral, paper, electronic. <br> Portfolio-based interviews (videorecorded) with selected students. |
| Dutro/Selland 2012 | Midwestern city in the USA | Children's perspectives on high-stakes testing in a high-poverty school | 33 students in $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade. | // | Participant observations and contextualization of children's responses over two years (interactions with children were digitally recorded + field notes). One hour interview with each child. In the second-year two focus groups with three children each. |
| Evans/Engelberg 1988 | Washington State, USA | Student perceptions of school grading | 52 children in $4^{\text {th }}$ grade. | 48 in $6^{\text {th }}, 61$ in $7^{\text {th }}, 51$ in $8^{\text {th }}, 50$ in $9^{\text {th }}$, and 42 in $11^{\text {th }}$ grades. | 88-item questionnaire developed to assess three aspects of students’ perspectives on grades: attitudes, cognitive understandings, and attributions. |
| Filby/Barnett 1982 | San Francisco Bay area, USA | Student perceptions of 'better readers' in classrooms | 102 students from $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ grade. | // | Observations + structured interviews ( 25 minutes). Student's reading ability level and organization of the class into level groups were necessary for the definition of the interview questions and data analysis. |


| Freeman/ Mathison n.d. | New York State, USA | The impact of state-mandated testing on students in urban and suburban schools | 11 in $4^{\text {th }}$ grade from an urban school and 12 in the same grade from a suburban school. | // | Three one-hour group meetings in each school to discuss and reconstruct experiences with statemandated tests: openended interactive techniques (interactive games, drawings, oral and written questions). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Henk/Melnick 1998 | Pennsylvania, USA | Criteria by which students form judgments about reading ability | 18 students in $4^{\text {th }}$ grade, 19 in $5^{\text {th }}$, and 19 in $6^{\text {th }}$ grades. | // | 25-question interviews (8-15 minutes) structured around Bandura's model of self-efficacy. |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Hughes/Zhang } \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | South Central <br> Texas, USA | The impact of the structure of peers' perceptions of classmates' academic abilities on children's social acceptance, perceived cognitive competence, and classroom engagement | 291 students in $1^{\text {st }}$ grade <br> 937 peers for sociometric interviews. | Teachers. | Children: reading and math achievement standardized tests + perceived cognitive competence through the PSPCSA individual interview (Harter/Pike 1981 as cited by the authors). Teachers: questionnaire about perception of student engagement. Peers: sociometric interviews. |
| Mac Iver 1988 | Midwest State, USA | The effects of classroom practices on stratification of pupils' selfperceptions of math ability | 67 upper elementary classes with a total of 1,612 students. | // | Pupils: questionnaires. Teachers filled out an assessment battery on each pupil and a classroom environment inventory. Report card grades. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Newman/Spitzer } \\ & 1998 \end{aligned}$ | Southern California, USA | How children reason about ability in the context of report card grades and in terms of effort and performance | 28 students in $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade (aged 7-8), 28 in $4^{\text {th }}$ grade (aged 9-10). | 28 students in $6^{\text {th }}$ grade (11-12). | Structured interviews (open-ended questions) accompanied by several real report cards filled out for hypothetical children. |
| Nicholls/Miller 1984 | Frankfort, Indiana, USA | Children's reasoning about ability and effort of self versus others | 180 children: 60 from each of 2nd, 5th and 8th grade classes. | // | Piagetian-type interview under predefined conditions of individual tasks with different effort stimuli, and observation of videotapes of others doing the same tasks. |
| Paris/Roth/ <br> Turner 2000 | Study 1: <br> Michigan, <br> California, <br> Arizona, Florida, USA <br> Study 2 : <br> Michigan, USA <br> Study 3 : <br> Michigan, USA | Students' <br> perceptions of academic achievement test | Study 1:368 children in $2^{\text {nd }}, 3^{\text {rd }}$, and $4^{\text {th }}$ grades; 273 in $5^{\text {th }}$ and $6^{\text {th }}$ grades. <br> Study 2: 120 in $4^{\text {th }}$ grade. <br> Study 3: 61 in $5^{\text {th }}$ grade. | Study 1: 241 students in $7^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ grades; 92 in $9^{\text {th }}$ and $11^{\text {th }}$ grades. <br> Study 2: 41 <br> in $7^{\text {th }}$ and 79 <br> in $10^{\text {th }}$ <br> grades. <br> Study 3: 65 in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade. | Study 1: national achievement test; a designed survey named 'Students views of...(national test name)'. Study 2: achievement scores through California Achievement Test (CAT; as cited by the authors); a designed survey on the Michigan Educational Assessment Program reading test (as cited by the authors). <br> Study 3: achievement scores through CAT; a designed survey to measure how students perceive standardized achievement tests and routine classroom tests. |


| Peña-Garcia 2020 | Colombia | Students' concepts about learning and evaluation | 100 students in $6^{\text {th }}$ grade (primary school). | // | Survey specifically designed with reference to the contributions of different authors throughout history and with the purpose of highlighting key elements of the meaning of learning and evaluation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stipek/Gralinski 1996 | California, USA | Children's beliefs about intelligence, effort, goal orientations, selfreported learning strategies, and school achievement | 319 children: 66 in $3^{\text {rd }}, 119$ in 4th, 75 in $5^{\text {th }}$, and 59 in $6^{\text {th }}$ grades. | // | A battery of written questionnaires within the first 4 months of the school year and again during the months of May and June. Report card grades + scores from California Test of Basic Skills (CTBS; as cited by the authors) or Metropolitan Achievement Tests (MAT; as cited by the authors). |
| Stipek/Tannatt 1984 | California, USA | Children's judgements of their own and their peers' academic competence | 32 children in kindergarten and $1^{\text {st }}$ grade, 32 children in $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ grades. | 32 children in preschool. | Interviews with a standardized procedure. |
| Thorkildsen 1999 | Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA | How much testing would be fair in school. | 119 children in grades 2 and 5 . | // | 20-minute interviews about the fairness of five common testing practices mediated by cartoon drawings. |
| Triplett/Barksdale 2005 | One mid-Atlantic and one southern state, USA | Students' perceptions of high-stakes testing | 225 students from $3^{\text {rd }}$ to $6^{\text {th }}$ grade. | // | Drawings/writings: a picture of a recent testing experience + writing prompt 'tell me about your picture'. |
| Wheelock/Bebell/ Haney 2000a | MassachusettsUSA | Students' perceptions of standardized testing | 303 students in $4^{\text {th }}$ grade. | 58 students in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade, 50 in $10^{\text {th }}$ grade. | Drawings: a picture of themselves as test-takers. |
| Xiang/Solmon/ McBride 2006 | Southern USA | Teachers' and students' conceptions of ability in elementary physical education | 160 students in $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ grades. | 8 physical education specialists. | Interviews + 5-point Likert scale questionnaire with 4 statements (due to low Cronbach alpha coefficients, the statements were excluded from subsequent analyses). |

Table 4 - Europe

| Author(s) year | Location | Topic | Participants | Other Participants | Methods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atkinson 2003 | Scotland, UK | Attitudes of students and their parents towards classroom assessment | One elementary school class (not mentioned the number of pupils in the sample nor the age). | Parents. | Informal observation and student responses to midsession and end-session questionnaires + parent questionnaire. |
| Beutel/Vollstädt 2002 | Hamburg, Germany | How pupils perceive and interpret reports and in which communication processes the awarding of reports is integrated | 61 students in $1^{\text {st }}$, $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ grades. | In the main study: 1.476 <br> students - <br> also <br> secondary school students 1.328 parents and 637 teachers from 30 schools. | Individual and guided interviews <br> (in the main study: written survey + qualitative case studies at 5 schools + individual and group interviews with teachers, students and parents + report cards analysis). |
| Chapman/Skinner 1989 | West German city, Germany | How children's beliefs and cognitive performance vary according to their conception development of effort and ability | 60 children in $4^{\text {th }}$ grade. | 60 children in $6^{\text {th }}$ grade. | The agency beliefs scale from the Control, Agency, and Means-Ends Interview (CAMI Skinner/Chapman/Baltes 1988a as cited by the authors); four subscales from the BTS (a standard German intelligence test for children; Horn 1972, as cited by the authors); Nicholls's (1978; as cited by the authors) procedure for assessing children's reasoning about the relation between effort and ability. |
| Eriksson/ <br> Björklund <br> Boistrup/ <br> Thornberg 2020 | Sweden | How students construct meaning from directly communicated teacher feedback | 23 students in $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ grades (aged 7-9). | // | Focus groups + classroom observations and fieldwork at the school. |
| Gipps/Tunstall 1998 | London, England, UK | Individual children's perception of feedback: understanding of success and failure | 49 students in $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ years (aged 6-7). | In the main study also teachers. | Students interviews with four scenario stories (in the main study classroom recording and observations + teacher interviews). |
| Hargreaves 2013 | London, England, UK | Children's perspectives on their teacher's feedback in relation to their learning | 9 children (aged 910). | // | Group, paired or individual interviews based on videotapes of classroom observations (up to 4 interviews for each child; collected 17 hours data interviews). Not the focus of this paper: previously all children had been interviewed twice (nonvideo based) in small groups and individually about their general experiences of school. |


| Meroño/Calderón/ Arias-Estero/ Méndez-Giménez 2017 | Region of Murcia, Spain | Design process and validation of a questionnaire on Perceived Competencybased Learning | 173 students from year 3 to year 6 for validation of comprehension + 523 students for validation of the construct. | 35 experts for validation of the content. | Design and validation of the Questionnaire on Perceived Competencybased Learning of Primary School Students. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monteiro/Mata/ Santos 2021 | Portugal | Students' and teachers' conceptions of assessment and assessment practices | 82 students in $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade (aged 7-10). | 5 teachers. | Classroom observations, documents produced by students (worksheets and tests), teacher interviews, student focus groups. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Murillo/Hidalgo } \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ | Madrid, Spain | Students' conceptions about a fair assessment | 15 students in their last elementary school year (aged 11-12) from public/private schools, and different socioeconomic context. | 17 students from mandatory secondary education (aged 15-16). | Phenomenographic interview based on selfreport. |
| Murphy/Lundy/ Emerson/Kerr 2013 | England $\quad$ and Wales, UK | Children's perceptions of primary science assessment | 997 children in their last year of primary education (aged 11). | 16 students in year 6 (primary school) and 16 students in year 7 (secondary school). | Children in Y6 and Y7: 4 research advisory groups of 8 children each were established as coresearchers. <br> All other children: online survey (mainly closed questions). |
| Remesal 2009 | Barcellona, Spain | Students' conceptions of daily assessment practices in the classroom | 12 students in $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade (aged 7-8). | 2 teachers. | Not the focus of this paper: $1^{\text {st }}$ year - teacher interviews. $2^{\text {nd }}$ year - pupils: episodic interview technique (semistructured). Analysis of classroom materials: assessment material, pupils' productions during interviews, videotape of a typical classroom situation. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Tunstall/Gipps } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | London, England | Feedback given to children by teachers and how they interpret, understand and act on it. What formative assessment means to children | 49 students in years 1 and 2 (aged $6-7)$ 6-7). | 8 teachers. | Observations to collect evidence of feedback; classrooms recording; teacher interviews; children interviews (twice a year for each of them); examination of children's work for written feedback + rating sheets completed by teachers for each pupil. |
| Weidinger/ Steinmayr/ Spinath 2019 | Germany | Students' use of comparisons to evaluate their own abilities | 542 students from the end of their $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade to their $4^{\text {th }}$ grade. | // | Questionnaire to assess students' perceptions of ability in German and Math; German and Math grades were provided by teachers on a questionnaire (2 years measurements six measurements at intervals of four months each). |

Table 5 - Oceania

| Author(s) year | Location | Topic | Participants | Other Participants | Methods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brown/Harris $2012$ | New Zealand | Students' conceptions and practices of assessment | 49 primary school students (years from 5 to 7 , aged 9-11). | 51 <br> intermediate <br> school <br> students <br> (years 7-8), <br> 134 high <br> school <br> students <br> (years 9-10). | Students' Conceptions of Assessment (SCoA-VI) inventory (Brown 2003 as cited by the authors). |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Burnett/Mandel } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | Australia | Teachers' and students' perceptions of praise, and effort and ability feedback in the classroom | 56 students in grades 1 to 6 (aged 6-12). | 5 teachers. | 29 students took part in individual structured interviews and 27 students participated in a group interview; five teachers had structured interviews; structured classroom observations (twice a week for four weeks). |
| Harris/Brown/ <br> Harnett 2014 | Auckland area, New Zealand | How students understand and experience feedback | 105 students in upper primary and intermediate school years (Y58; aged 9-12). | 88 lower secondary school students (Y 9-10). | Self-report survey instrument made of 3 sections: (1) a list of 15 practices from which to choose those experienced; (2) SCoFIII questionnaire (Irving et al. 2008 as cited by the authors); (3) drawing about their experience of feedback (four possible prompts randomly assigned). |
| Harris/Brown/ Harnett 2015 | Auckland area, New Zealand | Content of students' feedback provided to themselves and their peers | (indirectly) primary school students (Y5-8). | 11 teachers of years 5-10 (students aged 10-14), (indirectly) secondary school students (Y9-10). | Analysis of students' Peer- and SelfAssessment statements; observations and teacher interviews focusing on their classroom assessment and feedback practices. |
| Harris/Harnett/ <br> Brown 2009 | Auckland area, New Zealand | Students' conceptions of assessment (the main study was a multi-study with mixed methods. This paper describes a part of study 2) | 13 students in year 6/7 (aged 10-11). | 18 intermediate (years 7 and $7 / 8$ ) and 15 high school students (Y10). | Draw a picture technique: draw a captioned picture of assessment ('what you think it is or how it makes you feel'); focus groups to discuss student representations. The complete study included two techniques used alternately - placing assessment practices into a diamond shape to show their relative importance + drawing the relationship between assessment and teaching, learning, and curriculum using abstract shapes and SCoA inventory by Brown (2003 as cited by the authors). |


| Wurf/Povey 2020 | Australia | Students' <br> perceptions of <br> assessment tasks, <br> how these relate to <br> self-efficacy <br> beliefs, and the <br> understanding and <br> knowledge of <br> assessment | 26 students in Y5 <br> and 56 in Y6. | $/ /$ | A modified version of the <br> Student Perceptions of <br> Assessment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Questionnaire (SPAQ; |  |  |  |  |  |
| Koul/Fisher 2006 as cited |  |  |  |  |  |
| by the authors); |  |  |  |  |  |
| Perceived Competence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scale for Children |  |  |  |  |  |
| questionnaire (Harter |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982 as cited by the |  |  |  |  |  |
| authors); 13 students |  |  |  |  |  |
| from the sample took part |  |  |  |  |  |
| in a focus group. |  |  |  |  |  |

